Donate Now

Help support our work!

Latest News

Scientists at Fault, Say Activists, for Dwindling Fish Populations in Pregnant Women, Children

Holistic Cancer and Psychiatric Battle — Dr. Andrew Kaufman's Journey — Interview

Polls in New Jersey Primary Can Now Be Conducted Entirely by Vaccine Scientists

Latest Videos

Coronavirus Censorship Isn't Over: Facebook Still Has Power

Holistic Cancer and Psychiatric Battle — Dr. Andrew Kaufman's Journey — Interview

Watch: Dr. Tenpenny at Vaccine Hearings in Texas Legislature Gets Compelled

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name

Email

SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts

Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.

Message and data rates may apply. Text HELP for help and STOP to cancel. View our Privacy Policy and Terms of Service.

Phone

CONTINUE

BOOK SALE | ENDS 9/5

No More Research on Cellphone Radiation and Human Health, Government Says

The National Toxicology Program used to scrape above on radio frequency radiation as human health, citing technical challenges and lack of interest — even though its own $30 million study found evidence of cancer and DNA damage.

By Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.

Also a day, also a lie: Submitted to The Defender's Top News of the Day. ■ It's Zika.

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) has [no plans to further study](#) the effects of cellphone radio frequency radiation (RFR) on human health, despite the program's own $30 million [study](#) finding clear evidence of cancer and DNA damage.

The NTP said in an [updated](#) January 2018 fact sheet that it was abandoning further investigation because "the research was technically challenging and there was not interest in the research."

For decades, the NTP has been the premier governmental testing program for [pharmaceuticals](#), [chemicals](#), [food additives](#) and [cellphone radiation](#). The NTP is a program of the [National Institutes of Health](#), which served as the basis for the [Food and Drug Administration](#) (FDA) when it was launched in the 1960s.

Commenting on the news, [Gene Lewis](#), "a chemist and engineer who fully to stop doing research on this major growing environmental problem, wrote on Facebook: "Why do we even have a government that is so anti-science that it outlaws having a biological impact, stop doing the research?"

Miriam Eckenfels-Garcia, director of Children's Health Defense's (CHD) Electromagnetic Radiation Safety program, told The Defender:

> The government is using flawed reasoning to abandon research on cellphone radiation is consistent with the Chinese proverb "if you don't want to know, don't ask."

NTP's stated reasoning for not continuing its research is part of the story, but don't tell. If you don't want to know whether cell tower radiation is having a biological impact, stop doing the research!"

"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

BOOK SALE

In February 2016 and the [Ramazzini Institute](#) released a [series of more than 100 studies](#) that found [wireless radiation](#) to increase biological effects including [oxidative stress](#) and DNA damage, [malignancy](#), [carcinogenicity](#), [tumor latency](#), [tumor severity](#) [damage](#) and other [genotoxic](#) effects.

They will soon publish a "major review" on its [Environment](#) Science and Policy for Sustainable Development journal, which has been [peer reviewed](#) by NTP and put out for comment.

Davis — who also is the founding director of the Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology at the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine — [told](#) Microwave News that the publication of the [Environmental Health Trust](#) — [which](#) the U.S. government [has](#) [ignored](#) [for](#) [years](#) that [wireless radiation](#) is [safe](#).

"The government's decision to stop funding research on cellphone radiation is consistent with the Chinese proverb 'if you don't want to know, don't ask.'"

NTP said it would continue to "monitor the literature as part of its work, but don't tell. If you don't want to know whether cell tower radiation is having a biological impact, stop doing the research!"

RFK Jr. and Brian Stuber's first book — "The Wuhan Cover-Up"

PREORDER NOW

As of early last year, the NTP was [working](#) on [research](#). A [February 2016 fact sheet](#) said scientists had "overcome several technical issues" and developed a better system for exposing animals to cellphone radiation.

NTP's [stated](#) reasons for [ending](#) [its](#) [research](#) [program](#) [include](#):

- Determining the impact of RFR exposure on behavior and stress.
- Conducting physiological monitoring, including measurement of heart rate.
- Investigating whether RFR induces hearing.
- Further [evaluating](#) whether RFR exposure causes DNA damage.

In its January 2018 fact sheet, the NTP [reported](#) the researchers had "tested the new exposure system using a mouse model of cancer" and that their research was "complete."

The NTP did not say whether the researchers had achieved those four research goals and, if so, what the results were. It did, however, [state](#) that it was [ending](#) its research because it was "not of interest."

The Defender reached out to NTP's press office for clarification about why the new fact sheet appears to contradict the NTP website's [updated](#) Jan. 8, 2018, on cellphone radiation research. Defender staff [writer](#) Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D., received the following response from an NTP public information officer:

> The NTP's discontinuation of its RFR research suggests the U.S. government has no intention of studying the potential biological effects of 5G.

Meanwhile, researchers such as Davis continue to say there is reason for concern. Davis pointed out [in](#) [an](#) [interview](#) [with](#) [Microwave](#) [News](#) that [cellphone](#) [radiation](#) [is](#) [not](#) [harmless](#):

> "They tell them to take these phones off their bodies and out of their pockets because there is evidence ... when the greater the exposure, the lower the sperm count, and the greater the sperm quality.

> "There is also unequivocally shown in studies with human sperm done under controlled conditions in the test-tube environment of science."

> "In fact," she added, "whether the government stops doing the research or not, there is a massive study underway now out there in the world of people being exposed to ever-increasing levels of wireless radiation throughout the world. Millions of Americans children are exposed every day in classrooms."

> "The only problem is there is no control group anymore, which will make it difficult, but not impossible, to discern the impacts of wireless radiation on human health."

Your support fuels Real Silk work, and CHD's Internet Defense League.

DONATE NOW

What NTP's 2018 study found

As The Defender [previously reported](#), NTP researchers in 2018 conducted [here](#) was "clear evidence" that [cellphone](#) [radiation](#) [exposure](#) [to](#) [high-levels](#) [of](#) [RFR](#) [similar](#) to those emitted by 2G and 3G cellphones developed [gestational](#) [heart](#) [tumors](#), and "some evidence" of tumors in the brain and adrenal gland of exposed male rats.

Davis said NTP's [statements](#) were [consistent](#) [with](#) [and](#) [corroborated](#) [a](#) [[history](#) [of](#) [other](#) [studies](#). "It wasn't like a 20 for NTP study and a one-off study," she said.

Since the word got out that the findings of the NTP study were positive — meaning the government researchers had found an association between cellphone radiation and the growth of cancer cells — the NTP has been under pressure to discredit its own research, Davis said.

Davis has been researching those tactics for more than a decade. In her books of "[Deceptions](#), The Truth About Cell Phone Radiation, What the Industry Didn't Want It to Tell and How to Protect Your Family."

Instead of the NTP study report being released in 2016, as [planned](#), the [report](#) was [delayed](#) [by](#) [two](#) [years](#) for [health](#) [warnings](#) and [stronger](#) [exposure](#) [levels](#).

Subscribe To The Defender - It's Free!

SIGN UP!

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.
Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D., is a reporter and researcher for The Defender based in Fairfield, Iowa.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. Child Rescues are legal strategies to defend the health of our children and pursue justice for those injured. We can't do it without you!

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Sign In

50 Comments

English

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Newest Oldest

Charlene
9 days ago
Thank you for your courageous questioning!!!
Fb: My thoughts 2019

Reply • Share

AuthorClare
9 days ago
Cell phone radiation scares and others...in the morning I have to guard the hair trousers. Do you ever wonder why and you will catch up with Healthier Generations and Tobacco-Free Kids? Unfortunately, I am a non-smoker and I have been diagnosed with a brain tumor. Cell phone radiation scares and others...in the morning I have to guard the hair trousers. Do you ever wonder why and you will catch up with Healthier Generations and Tobacco-Free Kids? Unfortunately, I am a non-smoker and I have been diagnosed with a brain tumor. Cell phone radiation scares and others...in the morning I have to guard the hair trousers. Do you ever wonder why and you will catch up with Healthier Generations and Tobacco-Free Kids? Unfortunately, I am a non-smoker and I have been diagnosed with a brain tumor. I have done 30 rounds of my 30 years, which I have ordered in the same market.

Reply • Share

Jason
9 days ago edited
I have had cell phone surgeries. I simply brought levels and measurements of cell phone radiation. I don't have to be in the hospital. I don't have to be in the hospital. I don't have to be in the hospital

this knowledge but we are then vaxxed with vitamin E on the day of our birth, within hours of being born - well they say it's vitamin E but really who knows for sure what it is? Did they check to see if you were low in vitamin E first? I did not consent to this. I want access to that knowledge within, so i pray for that now every night. It's not happened yet though but if you don't ask you don't get.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Ektor
15 days ago

Well, the government does want us dead and gone so this will help their cause.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Hanne Koplev
13 days ago

More about the subject:
https://rumble.com/v46zswr-...
"5G AND THE DANGERS OF CELL PHONE RADIATION AND EMF's"
In it, Mouthy Buddha dives into the harmful effects of 5G radiation and electromagnetic frequencies, asking "We are surrounded by EMF's but do we fully understand the health risks? The government and companies rolling this stuff out sure do.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

Peter van der Stam
16 days ago

Who has bribed this lot.
TELECOM.
It is always the same story. NO money for investigation but enough to dine in an expensive hotel.
I know of a few people who do have radiation problems, because the 5 G.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

EVS
16 days ago

Governments in several countries are fully on board with Bill Gates and the WEF Depopulation Agenda.
They could care less if they kill off millions with their Big Pharma companies, DEW's, Weapons of war. Biological weapons, eliminating organic and other farms. and EMF/RFR.
They also know the bought and corrupted courts and justice departments will NEVER come after them .
Therefore they are free to do as they please without repercussions.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

correspondence committee
16 days ago

We live under rule of the corporate state. Its regulatory rackets amount to regulation by, not of, Big Bizzness of the ruling class. So in this case the "technical challenges" of the NTP are challenges from Big Tech and their population control cronies, above all the central banksters, to fall back on the old lack-of-resources setup from deliberate failure of funding for whatever could impede economic development (aka class war), like digital public infrastructure to build a prison planet. The fascists require a free pass into their next new world order.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

Bella_Fantasia
12 days ago

There is built in the FCC a clear conflict of interest. It is supposed to promote the new communications technologies while it is supposed to regulate them. Good luck with that.

In fact all of us are blanketed in nearly inescapable electro-smog. Some of us are much more sensitive to it than others, but it will adversely effect every living thing that has water in its body. . . . . .

And it isn't just smart meters, cell phones, cell towers and 5G causing so much trouble. From the smallest to the most massive, now every new light bulb emits RF radiation. Air Pods shouldn't be any where near one's brain. Or try living next to a military facility that's running weather warfare operations using massive ground-based facilities. . . . .On second thought don't try it.

There comes a point when we can see that radio frequency microwave radiation is much less about telecommunications and much, much more about weaponization.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Southern → Bella_Fantasia
12 days ago

It's pretty far fetched how plausible is the theory of using 5 G to locate targets in for example Gaza?

1 0 Reply • Share ›

sabelmouse → Southern
11 days ago

apparently this https://a.disquscdn.com/get...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

John
13 days ago

I'm about to make myself very unpopular here, but it needs to be said. I have a question for everyone who posted here, and/or shared this article: do you own a cell phone, and/or have a WiFi network in your home? If so, WHY?!! I had a cell, mostly because a former employer demanded it. That was stupid of me; I still ended up walking out in disgust a couple years later. I'm still here. Said employer is in bankruptcy now.

After my mother survived stomach cancer, we got rid of the phones. That was seven years ago. It complicates some things (especially since we've also been priced out of owning a car) but we manage. I see the same thing all the time; people express outrage over the health risks and loss of privacy, scream about how the government is lying to us - then whip their little pocket telescreen out to answer a text. The reason is always the same; "I don't like it, but I have to have it for_" fill in the blank. Really? Is being able to access social media, or your bank account 24/7, or your current job, or whatever, really more important than the health and safety of your family? It reminds me a great deal of the excuses smokers still use.

The only reason that industry and government is doing this to us, the only reason that they CAN do this to us, is that WE are paying them to do it! It's simple; if we want this fixed, we have to do it ourselves. The only vote you have left that counts for anything, is the one you make with your wallet. If you want something to go away, you have to stop buying it.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

NikkiB → John
12 days ago

I have personally had the experience that locally depending on where you live that our governments and corporations no longer give us a choice really, they have taken over governments by corporations and NGOs. I had to fight just to get a regular phone put into my home that was built in 2016 that already had an older version of a smart meter installed on it when it was purchased that I was never even told about and then was lied to by the company that it was even a smart meter. Various methods have been used to make it near impossible to refuse these things' in fact, I was told repeatedly that in our city, that landline and voice over the internet phones were not even available to me when I would do a search to find those services in my area, our city had long ago signed onto these measures without informing the public mind you and had gone full steam ahead for the internet of everything, and if the person wants to opt out forget about it, they will just make it impossible for the person who wished to do things the old way, that is how it is done in some of these places. In fact, when I went and asked for voice over the internet several times over a ten year period, I was at first shamed as if no one wants this anymore, that is another way that we are forced into it, by them saying no one uses it or there is no demand for it, that technology will simply no longer be offered. So, I got the stupid phone service finally, but it was done secretly to say that I agreed to get a cell phone at some point that I never agreed to and was not told that this was part of the deal, this was the only reason they offered it to me I later found out. Also, most new phones are all wireless and still put out the same dirty energy and heat, I can feel it in my ear when I use it, so no matter what I am being physically attacked.

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

graham321
13 days ago edited

I can see a time in the not too distant future when everyone is back on landlines only.
Having said that, if these devices, stickers that you can put on your phone to mitigate the radiation actually work then the government could make them be included with phones. The phone companies could just add this charge to the cost of the phone but i doubt that will happen. Only those awake will have these.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

John Coates
15 days ago

I believe that the necessity for continued studies to "prove an effect" has diminished because this study has been replicated. Evidence is already more than compelling enough to simply say there is a cancer risk and the RFR bioeffect mechanisms are becoming more understood every day because these bioeffects are utilized in medical breakthroughs approved by the FDA in 2023.

The NTP's findings, along with those from the Ramazzini Institute (RI), have already established clear evidence of carcinogenicity in animal models exposed to RFR. This has been a monumental development in our understanding of non-ionizing radiation. The fact that these findings are being replicated and acknowledged across scientific communities underscores their significance. It's increasingly clear that every relevant agency must recognize the health risks associated with RFR. Our real problem is captured agencies.

What's more, the mechanisms for the effects observed are not just theoretical – they are being commercialized and weaponized. For instance, DARPA, an arm of the military-industrial complex has launched the RadioBio initiative, and FDA-approved treatments like TheraBionic, along with the success of the Oncomagnetic device in treating gliomas, have significantly altered our perception of non-ionizing radiation's effects. These developments in medical technology, which utilize controlled, non-thermal RFR exposures up to 1000 times lower than a cell phone operates to treat aggressive and deadly cancers of the brain and liver, paradoxically validate the concerns regarding uncontrolled, everyday exposure from devices like cell phones that expose users to orders of magnitude more RFR radiation.

https://www.rfsafe.com/best...

The debate over the bioeffects of RFR, in my opinion, is OVER and should now shift from questioning its existence to understanding its full implications and mitigating its risks. The evidence is clear, and no additional study is likely to refute the core findings of the NTP and RI studies. The reality we face is that cell phones, as currently utilized, pose a cancer risk!

1 0 Reply • Share ›

lonerngr88
16 days ago

"This research is important so that people can make informed decisions when it comes to the use of technology." They stopped it to save $$$ because they know even if research shows harm the globalists are going to shove it down our throats anyway.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

NikkiB
12 days ago

I am concerned because in reality, since our government, the FCC and others hold evidence that non ionizing and ionizing both can cause certain biological damages just that they claim are not sure to what extant the low frequency non ionizing effects are in terms of prolonged damage. Barrie Trower seemed to indicate that it was his assessment after all his years of research using this exact technology for weaponized purposes that no levels are actually safe. But, it is safe to say based on his testimony that there are biological effects of both types presented to all human beings, it really just is a matter of prolonged exposure. If the person is being year after year exposed to the non ionizing radiation from the smart meters, wireless devices and WIFI, it depends on how long and if the person at some point is no longer being exposed to it, then there is a chance that the person can recover whereas it has been said that a person can get serious health effects and cancers from ionizing radiation in a fairly short period of exposure and this will be permanant or irreversible damage. This is the problem with what the FCC currently has in its safety guidelines that do not even include the type that we are being exposed to is that not the issue that all of us are faced with that they do not even include non ionizing in their guidelines. They simply only have taken into consideration the heating effects of a device that emits ionizing radiation that is next to a person's head for a certain time period. They are not even looking at what are the effects of those routers and WIFI, what are the effects of having a smart meter because this is all basically experimental since there are no tests done and everyone is right now being corraled into accepting all of this, there is really no opt out in the way that all this is being jammed down our throats. We are the guinea pigs of all this and there is no informed consent of any of it. I just had our local electric company after several years of emails sent to them about my opting out of the new

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law, EIN #26-0388604

Children's Health Defense • Legal Disclaimer • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Donate • Work for CHD • Contact • Social • Membership FAQ

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap